

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>			·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,155	12/15/2003	Ronald D. Hatfield	0015.02	3661	
	7590 . 07/30/2007 FFICE OF TECHNOLO	GY TRANSFER	EXAMINER		
NATIONAL CTR FOR AGRICULTURAL UTILIZATION RESEARCH 1815 N. UNIVERSITY STREET		PRYOR, ALTO	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
=	PEORIA, IL 61604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1616		
	•		· .		
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/736,155	HATFIELD ET AL.	HATFIELD ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Alton N. Pryor	1616	·	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply		1	-	
WHICH - Extensi after Si - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum stautory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	'				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u>	fav 2007			
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.			
<u> </u>	ince this application is in condition for allowa		ters, prosecution as to the merits	: is	
,	losed in accordance with the practice under E	·	• •	, 13	
	n of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
•			•		
	laim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
	laim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.			
				•	
	laim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.				
	laim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8)∐ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application	n Papers				
9)[] Th	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		•	
10)□ Tṛ	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) 🗌 acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Α	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
R	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).	
11) 🗌 Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
· 1.	☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
2.	☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No		
3.	☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
* Sec	e the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s	)		•		
	f References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date <u>5/8/07</u> .	6)  Other:	· •		

Application/Control Number: 10/736,155

Art Unit: 1616

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no written description of "in sufficient quantity" in the specification. In addition, claim 9 recites "fresh material". There is no description of "fresh material" in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-12 recite the limitation "the ensilaged material" in claim 1 lines 5-6.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 1-6 recite the limitation "the material" in claim 1 line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the crop material" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 is also unclear since it recites that "compound is applied to ..... per gram fresh weight". The claim does not include the object to which the compound is being applied to ... It appears that the compound is being applied to "forage".

#### Election Status

The Election Requirement is withdrawn.

### Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616